

ARCI MODEL RULES COMMITTEE
PETITION FOR NEW RULE OR CHANGE TO EXISTING RULE

Please submit this petition via email to rules@arci.com. Submission of a petition begins a review process within the ARCI and is not a representation that the matter will be acted upon at the next ARCI Model Rules Committee meeting. Other committees may need to review the matter and make a recommendation. Printed petitions should be sent to:

The Association of Racing Commissioners International
2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B-450
Lexington, KY 40504
Phone: (859) 224-7070

Your Contact Information:

Name:	Steve May
Organization:	Minnesota Racing Commission
Address:	1100 Canterbury Road, Suite 100 Shakopee, MN 55379
Phone(s):	(952) 529-0832
Fax #:	(952) 496-7954
E-mail Address:	Steve.may@state.mn.us

A. Brief Description of the Issue

The ARCI Model Rules covering the Pick-(n) wager have been amended numerous times since the rules were initially drafted and approved by the Model Rules Committee and ARCI Board of Directors. These amendments have resulted in a rule that is confusing to read, that contains conflicting language, and should not be considered a “model” when being considered for incorporation by a racing jurisdiction.

B. Discussion of the Issue and Problem

Provide background on the issue to build context. Address the following:

- *What specific problems or concerns are involved in this issue?*

When a rule covers a variety of topics, and those topics are not in similar areas of the language, it becomes difficult to read. When a rule is difficult to read, it can lead to parts of the rule being either not applied or applied improperly.

There is also conflicting language in the current rule that could cause the rule to be applied improperly.

- ***Who does the issue affect?***
This affects any jurisdiction that chooses to adopt the ARCI Model Rule for Pick-(n) wagers.
- ***What existing model rules relate to this issue?***
ARCI Model Rules, Version 9.5, Rule ARCI-004-105 (G) Pick-(n) Pools.

Once language can be agreed upon, the recommendation would be to review the following rules to ensure congruity:

- ARCI Model Rules, Version 9.5, Rule ARCI-004-105 (H) Place Pick-(n) Pools;
 - ARCI Model Rules, Version 9.5, Rule ARCI-004-105 (S) Exacta-(n) Pools;
 - ARCI Model Rules, Version 9.5, Rule ARCI-004-105 (T) Pick-(n) Position-(x) Pools; and
 - ARCI Model Rules, Version 9.5, Rule ARCI-004-105 (X) Pentafecta Pools
- ***Provide relevant quantitative or statistical information if possible.***
No quantitative or statistical information is relevant, although the popularity of the Pick-(n) wagers should be taken into account with this petition.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact

Provide possible recommendations to solve the problem. Include details on each proposed solution such as

- ***What solution does this proposal provide?***
This proposal provides a draft of proposed new language. The petitioner is open to edits to this draft to ensure that the final language is useful to all racing jurisdictions.
- ***How will the solution fix the problem?***
The petitioner has drafted language to address the concerns.
- ***How will the change affect any entities or stakeholders?***
The drafted language should not negatively affect any entities or stakeholders, but the petitioner reserves the right to amend the language if a possible negative impact is discovered.
- ***How will you or your organization be affected by the proposed change?***
The Minnesota Racing Commission plans to adopt the ARCI Model Rule in the fall/winter of 2021 if approved by the ARCI.
- ***What are the benefits of the proposed change?***
A clear, more concise Model Rule should result.
- ***What are the possible drawbacks of the proposed change?***
Some states that have adopted the current Model Rule may need to update their administrative regulations to reflect the changes.
- ***Identify possible fiscal impact of the recommended change.***
There are no direct fiscal impacts of the recommended changes since the changes are administrative.

D. Please identify any affected stakeholder groups that expressed support or opposition. (These stakeholders may include the racetracks, breed registries, owners, trainers, jockeys, veterinarians, or others.)

- ***For those stakeholder groups that have expressed an opinion, please list the points on which they agree or disagree, and the arguments they have expressed.***

The rule was drafted in consultation with Gary Palmisano from Churchill Downs, Inc.

- ***Are there any affected stakeholder groups that have not been consulted on this proposal?***

As of January 13, 2021, no other stakeholders have been consulted, although it is being brought to the ARCI Pari-Mutuel Auditors Committee for review. After review it will be circulated to various industry groups, including racetracks and totalizator companies, for review.

- ***Please submit any formal letters of support or opposition by stakeholder groups.***

As of January 13, 2021, no other stakeholders have been consulted, although it is being brought to the ARCI Pari-Mutuel Auditors Committee for review. After review it will be circulated to various industry groups, including racetracks and totalizator companies, for review.

E. Attach the model rule language you are proposing. Please show new language with underlined text. If you are proposing that current model rule language be eliminated, please strikeout the language to be deleted.

A Microsoft Word version using the “Track Changes” feature of the draft language will be submitted to the ARCI and ARCI Pari-Mutuel Auditors Committee.

F. Do any racing jurisdictions currently have a version of this rule in effect? If yes, please attach copies of those rules.

No other jurisdictions have this rule in effect, although the Minnesota Racing Commission has added it to planned administrative regulations revisions for the fall of 2021.

G. Review the RCI Model Rules and identify any other Model Rules this change would affect and submit proposed amendments to those rules to comply with changes that would be made by this proposal.

FILING THIS REQUEST WITH RCI DOES NOT GUARANTEE YOUR PROPOSAL WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE MODEL RULES COMMITTEE. IF YOU HAVE OPPOSITION FROM AN INTERESTED PARTY, YOU ARE STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO TRY TO REACH CONSENSUS PRIOR TO FILING THIS FORM.